PRACTICUM-BASED PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT MODELS: CONSIDERING IMPACTS FROM MULTIPLE PERSPECTIVES



DR K–12 PI Meeting June 1–3, 2016

Washington Marriott Wardman Park Washington, D.C.





Goals for today's session

- Clarify essential features of `practicumbased' professional development programs
- Provide a rationale for practicum-based PD
- Examine preliminary evidence supporting impacts of practicum-based PD models
- Explore issues & solutions to implementing and studying practicum-based PD



'Practicum-Based' PD Programs



PRACTISE: Practicum-based Academy to Improve Science Education University of California-Berkeley Craig Strang and Emily Weiss

Stanford Graduate School of Education Jonathan Osborne and Hilda Borko

QuEST: Quality Elementary Science



Teaching University of Missouri

Deborah Hanuscin, Delinda van Garderen, Mark Ehlert, Zandra de Araujo, Cathy Thomas, Dante Cisterna





NSF DRK12 project that explores the impact of a practicum-based PD model on teacher and student learning in elementary science.





Practicum-Based Professional Development Model



Week One

- Teachers learn physical science content using a curriculum developed for *adult learners* that is framed using the 5E Learning Cycle and incorporates principles of Universal Design of Learning (UDL).
- Teachers then 'unpack' their experience as learners to develop their pedagogical knowledge of the 5E Learning Cycle, formative assessment, and UDL.





Practicum-Based Professional Development Model



- Teachers work in collaborative teams to design and instruct a week-long, half-day summer science program for students (15 hours). They spend the remaining half of the day analyzing their practice, examining student work, and planning next steps.
- Students pay a modest tuition fee (\$75) which subsidizes scholarships awarded in conjunction with the local Voluntary Action Center and Columbia Housing Authority.



Community for Advancing Discovery Research in Education





Practicum-Based Professional

Development Model

Academic Year

- Four Saturday Sessions (full day) focus on extending teachers' knowledge and application of what they learned in the summer institute and analyzing student assessment data to inform their teaching
- Activities are informed by classroom observations of teachers' implementation, evaluation data, and teacher input



Community for Advancing Discovery Research in Education





PRACTISE: Practicum-based Professional Learning Model

NSF DRK12 project that explores the impact of a practicum-based professional learning model on teacher and student learning in elementary science (grades 3-5).





Summer Institute (1 week)

- Teachers engage in exemplar ocean science experiences, including arguing from evidence; PL leaders make explicit their instructional goals and instructional decisions.
- Teachers explore ideas related to establishing a culture of talk, supporting ELLs, and developing students' argumentation skills.
- Teachers plan for upcoming practicum experience to enact what they have learned.





Summer School Practicum (2 weeks)

- Team teaching & planning using exemplary curriculum (same as during Institute) to support pedagogical learning goals
- Daily 90-minutes of instructional time (whole class and small group)
- Coaching
- Video reflection groups
- Just-in-time PL input



Academic year follow-up days (4 full days)

- Video reflection groups
- Planning time
- Additional PL experiences to align with academic year needs (informed by formative evaluation data)







Characteristics of 'Practicum-Based' PD

Essential feature:

 Provide a 'low risk' instructional environment in which teachers can 'practice' (i.e., rehearse) new teaching approaches and iterate immediately based on feedback and reflection on student work prior to returning to their own classrooms

Variable features:

- Length of practicum experience
- Specific teaching practices and curriculum
- Collaborative teaching and planning
- Coaching
- Video-stimulated reflection
- Repeated teaching of the same lessons



Question for the audience:

What other features of practicum-based PD do you see as essential or possible variants?



Why do we think practicum-based PD is important for teachers?

Situated Perspectives on Learning

 Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK) develops through teaching experience, but the nature of that experience matters



Why embed practicum in PD versus embed PD in teachers' own classrooms?

"The classroom is a powerful environment for shaping and constraining how practicing teachers think and act. Many of their patterns of thought and action have become automatic—resistant to reflection or change. Engaging in learning experiences away from this setting may be necessary to help teachers 'break set'—to experience things in new ways"

(Putnam & Borko, 2000, p. 6).



Why is practice important for elementary teachers, in particular?

Science Teaching Opportunities with the same Content	Student Teaching	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total
Middle/ Secondary Teacher	1-3	2-5	2-5	2-5	7-18
Elementary Teacher*	?1?	1	1	1	4 at best*

*Assuming the teacher remains at the same grade level and the same curricula are in place each year



What are teachers' perceptions of practicum-based PD programs?

The most valuable part of the professional development experience was implementing the strategies we learned about during the first week with the children the second week. What good is learning about how to do something with children if you cannot practice it?





QUEST Participants' Perceptions of the Amount They Learned in Comparison to Other PD





What are teachers' perceptions of practicum-based PD programs?

... being able to put the new information into practice immediately helped me make better sense of it. The practicum helped solidify the concepts and made the experience more effective. Having a coach to help us with the lessons was useful to get back on track when we strayed (immediate feedback!) ... I really learned a lot from watching the videos (even if the lesson did not go as planned). I always got something out of the discussion that followed.



What are teachers' perceptions of practicum-based PD programs?



How does your experience in the PRACTISE two-week Practicum compare to other professional development activities that were intended to improve your teaching practice?



The PRACTISE two-week Practicum was much more effective than other professional development experiences I have had.

The PRACTISE two-week Practicum was more effective than other PD experiences I have had.

The PRACTISE two-week Practicum was about the same as other PD experiences I have had.

The PRACTISE two-week Practicum was less effective than other PD experiences I have had.

The PRACTISE two-week Practicum was much less effective than other PD experiences I have had.

OUESTIONS FROM THE AUDIENCE?

(Our research is next!)



Research on Practicum-Based PD

- How are we understanding and measuring impact?
- What impacts have we achieved?
- What else is influencing our ability to measure and detect impacts?



Practicum-Based Professional Development Model

Quasi-Experimental Study

7 School Districts (Rural, Urban, Suburban) 30 Schools 180 teachers (60/yr in Grades 3-4-5)



Community for **Advancing**

Discovery Research in **Education**



Mixed-Methods Convergent Design

Quantitative Strand

Do **teachers** who participate in different models of PD demonstrate differential growth in content knowledge (CK), pedagogical knowledge (PK), and pedagogical content knowledge (PCK)?

Do **students of teachers** who participate in different models of PD demonstrate differential performance on measures of science knowledge and skills?

Qualitative Strand

- How does participation in different models of PD support the development and enactment of teachers' PCK in their classroom practice?
- How does participation in different models of PD support teachers in utilizing strategies to meet the needs of diverse learners (in particular, struggling learners, students from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds, and students with disabilities)?





Practicum-Based Professional Development Model

Community for Advancing

Discovery Research in Education









Cohort 1 (Grade 3)				
	Cohort 2 (Grade /)			
Pre-academy lesson task/Interview		Cohort 3 (Grade 5)	
Pre-/Post Summer	Pre-Academy lesson task/Interview		Longitudinal Study	
Classroom	Pre-/Post-Summer Academy Tests	Pre-Academy lesson task/Interview	, y ,	
Classroom Data	Classroom Observations	Pre-/Post-Summer Academy Tests	School data on	
Post-Program Interviews	Classroom Data	Classroom Observations	student achievement in	
	Post-Program Interviews	Classroom Data Post-Program	science by intervention	
		Interviews		



Comparison of Means for MOSART Scores for Treatment Groups

Group	Pretest Mean	Posttest Mean	Normalized Gain Score Mean
Practicum (16)	11.8	12.8	.08
NonPracticum (17)	11.1	11.2	02
-test of Difference Between Means	p < .43	p < .07	p < .43



Comparison of Means for Content Test Scores for Treatment Groups

Group	Pretest Mean	Posttest Mean	Normalized Gain Score Mean
Practicum (16)	10.6	17.7	·55
NonPracticum (17)	8.6	16.5	.52
t-test of Difference Between Means	p < .10	p < .42	p < .63

The differences between pretest scores and posttest scores within groups were statistically significant at the *p* < *.oo1* level.



Comparison of Means for Pedagogy (5E) Scores for Treatment Groups

Group	Pretest Mean	Posttest Mean	Normalized Gain Score Mean	
Practicum (16)	9.6	11.7	·33	
NonPracticum (17)	8.5	11.2	·35	
t-test of Difference Between Means	p < .08	p < .57	р < .81	

For both groups, post-test scores were significantly higher than pre-test scores at *p*< .002 and *p* < .001 levels for the practicum and non-practicum groups, respectively.



Comparison of Means for Pedagogy (UDL) Scores for Treatment Groups

Group	Pretest Mean	Posttest Mean	Normalized Gain Score Mean
Practicum (16)	14.0	18.3	-47
NonPracticum (17)	13.5	17.2	.32
t-test of Difference Between Means	p < .58	p < .31	p<.24

For both groups, post-test scores were significantly higher than pre-test scores at *p < .001* levels.







 Both practicum and non-practicum versions of the PD produce significant impacts on proximal measures of teachers' content and pedagogical knowledge

Ongoing Analyses

Practicum impacts on knowledge-in-use



Community for Advancing Discovery Research in Education



Research design





Research Questions

Study of effectiveness of PL models

In what ways, if any, does teachers' participation in a PD program focused on discourse and argumentation influence classroom discourse practices?

What differences in discourse practices, if any, are associated with teachers' participation in the practicum and non-practicum versions of the PD program?



Community for Advancing Discovery Research in Education

Study of teaching practice in cases

In what ways do teachers change their practice to enhance classroom discourse and improve students' ability to argue from evidence?

What professional development experiences are associated changes in instructional practice?





Data Collection	Year o (2012-13)	Year 1 (2013-14)	Year 2 (2014-15)	Year 3 (2015-16)
Cohort 1	Baseline interviews Baseline video obs.	Video observations	Video observations Pre-post students assessments	Video observations Pre-post students assessments Exit interviews
Cohort 2	Baseline interviews Baseline video obs. Video observations	Video observations	Video observations Students assessments (pre- post)	Video observations Pre-post students assessments Exit interviews
Cohort 3 (Started on Year 2)			Baseline interviews Baseline video obs. Pre-post students assessments	Video observations Pre-post students assessments Exit interviews



SCIENCE DISCOURSE INSTRUMENT (SDI)

Teacher Practices:

ASK: Nature of Teachers' Questioning

PRESS: Teacher Press

LINK: Teacher Linking of Student Ideas

Student Practices:

EXPLAIN/CLAIM: Nature of Students' Responses

CO-CONSTRUCT: Student Link/Build on Ideas

CRITIQUE: Student Challenge & Critique

Rated on a 0 to 4 scale (Fishman et al., under review)



Community for Advancing Discovery Research in Education





Factor analyses revealed that it was best to aggregate the teacher and student scores to assess one TEACHER measure and one STUDENT measure

 To address the research questions we ran a split-plot ANOVA with a Cohort x Segment x Time (2 x 2 x 3) design





Results: Teacher Measure







Results: Student Measure







 All teachers in the project made statistically significant improvements in their argumentation practices, as did their students.

 We found that the practicum was a significant factor in improving students' argumentation practices.

OUESTIONS FROM THE AUDIENCE?

(Challenges & Issues are next!)

QUEST



Challenges:

- Re-assignment of teachers to different grade levels, roles, schools, or districts
- Student mobility & teacher attrition
- Variation in curriculum adoption, lesson-planning requirements, etc.
- Changes to state standards and testing
- Administrative turnover and shifting priorities
- Sensitivity of proximal/distal measures
- Responses to video and observation
- Organic developments vs. controlled experiments







Issues & Solutions Breakouts

- Rigorous research designs vs. reality of change in schools and districts
- 2. Costs vs. Benefits of Practica: Potential for Scale-up & Sustainability
- 3. Understanding Impacts- Which are meaningful to measure?

