

Jennifer Kidd Kristie Gutierrez Pilar Pazos Stacie Ringleb Krishna Kaipa Orlando Ayala Min Jung Lee

Old Dominion University



# Ed+gineering

An Interdisciplinary Partnership Integrating Engineering into Elementary Teacher Preparation Programs



![](_page_1_Picture_0.jpeg)

Teaching & Learning

Jennifer Kidd

> Kristie Gutierrez

![](_page_1_Picture_4.jpeg)

Authors @ Old Dominion University

> Min Jung Lee Postdoc

![](_page_1_Picture_7.jpeg)

Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering Krishna Kaipa Stacie Ringleb

<image>

Orlando Ayala Engineering Technology **Pilar Pazos** Engineering Systems & Management

![](_page_1_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_1_Picture_13.jpeg)

## Challenge: New Nationwide Curricular Demands for Engineering and Computer Science in K-8 Education

- NGSS and Virginia science SOLs call for new emphasis on Engineering education
- In Virginia, Computer Science K-8 (mandatory) standards, including coding, are designed to be integrated into instruction

![](_page_2_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Despite New Demands...

- Few preservice teachers are exposed to engineering and/or coding in their coursework (Rose, Carter, Brown, & Shumway, 2017)
  Consequently, PSTs lack confidence in these areas
  - (Mesutoglu & Baran, 2020)

![](_page_3_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Introducing Ed+gineering: a Mutually Beneficial Partnership

### **EDUCATION** Preservice Teachers Need:

• Exposure to Engineering

![](_page_4_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **ENGINEERING** Engineering Students Need:

• Experience working in interdisciplinary teams

![](_page_4_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Cross-disciplinary Collaborative Learning**

Education and engineering students learn from and with each other as they develop and deliver engineering lessons for elementary school students

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

## 3 (PST + Engr Student) Collaborations

PSTs have three opportunities to partner with engineering students as part of their coursework to teach an engineering lesson to elementary students during their Teacher Preparation Program

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

Engr Students join the main project, engineering a bio-inspired robot

#### Project-based Learning

-authentic task -real audience -adds value and motivation

The Ed+gineering model, rooted in constructivism, leverages high impact practices to engage education and engineering students in impactful, memorable learning experiences.

On the next slide, watch a 3-minute video to hear about the project in our students' own voices.

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

Ed+gineering Model

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

Education & Engineering students collaborate to teach engineering lessons to elementary students

![](_page_7_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Social Constructivism

-cross disciplinary collaboration leads to new perspectives & knowledge

#### Service Learning

-enhances persistence for female and minority engineering students Ed+gineering -Student Voices: (3 mins)

**RIGHT CLICK** to open in a new tab!

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Jose, Engineering Student

This video is also accessible in Files and on YouTube: <u>https://www.yout</u> <u>ube.com/watch?</u> <u>v=eW-ywhJ-CsU</u> <u>&t=4s</u>

## How did Ed+gineering Adapt during COVID?

## Spring 2020

**Collab 1 & 3:** Students produced interactive virtual lessons (slideshows) for their 4th/5th grade partners

**Collab 2:** Students met with their 5th grade partners via Zoom to design and build their robots

On the next slide, watch a 3 min video of Team Dolphin as they collaborated to engineer robotic dolphins that could help combat ocean pollution

## Spring 2021

Students taught interactive, hands-on lessons via Zoom to elementary students

### **Team Dolphin:** (3 mins)

RIGHT CLICK to open in a new tab!

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

This video is also accessible Files and on YouTube: https://www.youtu be.com/watch?v= CzdAlu5brY0

# DRK-12

## Research

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Changing the hearts and minds of Preservice Teachers

*Purpose*. The purpose of Ed+gineering is to develop, refine, and evaluate an innovative instructional model to integrate engineering into P-6 teacher preparation.

**RQ 1.** How does Ed+gineering influence P-6 PSTs' a) knowledge of engineering practices, b) knowledge of engineering pedagogy, c) beliefs about engineering integration, d) self-efficacy for engineering integration, and e) intention to integrate engineering into classroom instruction?

**RQ 2.** How do P-6 PSTs' knowledge, beliefs, and self-efficacy for engineering integration influence their intention to integrate engineering into their instruction?

**RQ3.** What are the barriers and enablers of engineering integration in the P-6 context identified by PSTs who did and didn't participate in the intervention?

**RQ4:** To what extent do Ed+gineering graduates integrate engineering into P-6 instruction in their first year of teaching? What are the barriers and enablers of engineering integration in the P-6 context identified by Ed+gineering graduates?

**RQ5**: How does the availability of resource and support incentives, including an engineering education workshop, impact Ed+gineering graduates' integration of engineering into P-6 instruction?

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

Ihr lesson "field trip" model

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **Results thus far have focused on RQ1**

Ed+gineering has had a positive impact on engineering knowledge and self-efficacy

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **RQ1-** How does **Ed+gineering** influence **PSTs**:

- **EPK** Engineering pedagogical knowledge
- **KEA-** knowledge of engineering applications
- **BE**I- Beliefs about engineering integration
- **SEI-** Self efficacy for engineering integration
- II- Intention to integrate

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

## **Project Summary**

Though elementary educators recognize the importance of integrating engineering in their classrooms, many feel challenged and unprepared to teach engineering content. The absence of effective engineering instruction in teacher preparation programs leaves future educators unprepared for this challenge. Ed+gineering is an NSF-funded partnership between education and engineering aimed at increasing preservice teacher (PST) preparation, confidence, and intention to integrate engineering into their teaching. Ed+gineering partners education and engineering students in multidisciplinary teams within the context of their respective university courses. As part of their coursework, the teams plan and deliver culturally responsive engineering lessons to elementary school students under the guidance of one engineering and one education faculty. Our research investigates the impact of Ed+gineering on PSTs' knowledge of engineering practices, engineering pedagogical knowledge, self-efficacy to integrate engineering, and beliefs about engineering integration. The impact of Ed+gineering on participating PSTs was assessed using three collaborations involving students in engineering and education during Fall 2019 and Spring 2020. Preliminary results suggest that the Ed+gineering partnership positively impacted engineering-pedagogical knowledge, knowledge of engineering practices, and self-efficacy for integrating engineering.

### If you'd like to learn more, visit our website:

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

The Origin Story...

#### "Engineering is so hard. It's for the boys!"

This comment was made to Dr. Stacie Ringleb, Professor of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, by a female elementary education student who was babysitting her children. This all too common sentiment made us realize that engineering and education faculty have to work together if we want to broaden participation in engineering. From this distressing remark, Edgineering was born.

ODU Ed+gineering is a collaborative partnership between education and engineering students and faculty at Old Dominion University. The project partners more than 500 education and 300 engineering students to teach engineering lessons to over 1,600 elementary school students.

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

https://www.oduedgineering.com/

# This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1908743

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)