## **Project Overview**

There is an urgent need for vetted Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS)-aligned, classroom-based formative assessment tasks for the early grades that incorporate the language and literacy development of young students into the design. This project is designing instructional assessment materials using the Science Assessments for Language Diversity in Early Elementary Classrooms (SALDEE) approach that brings together elements of evidence-centered design (ECD) and a framework for designing science materials for varied learning experiences. In this poster, we show our progress toward answering the research questions and describe our lessons learned.

## **Development Goals**

This project will develop a suite of NGSS-aligned formative assessment tasks for first-grade science and a set of instructional materials to support teachers as they administer the formative assessments to students with developing language skills and capacities.

## **Research Questions**

- 1. Assessment validity. Are the assessment tasks valid and reliable for measuring student proficiencies in science?
- 2. Equity and inclusivity task features. Do different task features support access for students who have diverse language and/or literacy skills?
- 3. Instructional validity. Are the resources usable and supportive of teachers implementing formative assessment practices in their classrooms?

## **Design Perspective**

This project bridges the Next Generation Science Assessment for Young Scientists (NGSA-YS) and the Equity and Inclusion Curriculum Design (EI-CD) approaches to generate a novel and innovative assessment design and development approach called SALDEE. The combined approach will generate new assessments that reliably measure young learners' NGSS three-dimensional science proficiency and purposefully position support for language and literacy development as central to the design of the assessments.

**Designing Next Generation Science Standards-Aligned Formative Assessments: Progress and Lessons Learned About First-Grade Assessment Development** 

> Nonye Alozie, Arif Rachmatullah, Hui Yang, Marta Mielicki, Anna Jennerjohn, Sara Gracely, Erika Gaylor & Sara Rutherford-Quach

> > SRI Education

## DRK-12 PI Meeting | Arlington, VA | June 9–11, 2025

## SALDEE Assessment Design Approach

### Figure 1. SALDEE Approach



### **Figure 3. Learning Performances**

PS4-1: Plan and conduct investigations to provide evidence PS4-3: Plan and conduct investigations to determine the effect of placing objects made with that vibrating materials can make sound and that sound can make materials vibrate LP1: Plan an investigation to provide evidence that when soun

LP2: Conduct an investigation to provide evidence that when sound travels it can cause materials to vibrate LP3: Plan an investigation to provide evidence that vibrating aterial can cause a sound

P4: Conduct an investigation to provide evidence that vibratir erial can cause a sound

### lifferent materials in the path of a beam of light. LP1: Use observations to describe what happens when an object is placed in the path of a light source. LP2: Use observations of a variety of objects or materials to draw a conclusion about the effect of placing similar (or different) objects or materials in the path of a light source LP3: Use observations of a variety of objects or

to an effect on the path of light (e.g., materials that llow light to pass through them) PS4-4: Use tools and materials to design

S4-2: Make observations to ruct an evidence-based ount that objects in darkness P1: Articulate and support a claim ts can only be seen if a light ource illuminates them.

ESS1-1: Use observations of the sun, moon, and stars to describe patterns hat can be predicted. LP1: Use observations of the sun, moon, and stars to lescribe their patterns. LP2: Make predictions of the sun, moon, and stars based

to solve the problem of communicating over distance LP1: Students can design a device or method for using sound to communicate over a

and build a device that uses light or sound

LP2: Students can design a device or method for using light to communicate over a distance.

ESS1-1: Make observations at different times of the year to relate the amount of daylight of the year. LP1: Use observations to describe patterns of sunrise and sunset and/or amount of daylight as related to the time of year

LP2: Make a prediction of the position of the sun, moon r stars based on patterns.

LS3-1: Make observations to construct an evidence-based account that young plants and animals are like, but not exactly like, their

LP1: Construct an evidence-based account using observable patterns that ave visible features that are similar to those of their arents, but those features are not exactly the same.

P2: Ability to construct an explanation for how animal parents and ing are similar but not exactly the same as each other based on

LP3: Construct an evidence-based account using observable patterns that lant offspring have visible features that are similar to those of their parents, but those features are not exactly the same.

LP4: Ability to construct an explanation for how plant parents and offspring are similar but not exactly the same as each other based on a pattern they LS1-1: Use materials to esign a solution to a numan problem by nimicking how plants nd/or animals use their external parts t help them survive, row, and meet their LP1: Students can lesign a structure similar to an animal part o address a need. LP2: Students can design a structure similar to a plant part

LS1-2: Read texts and use media to etermine patterns in behavior of parents and offspring that help offspring survive.

P1: Obtain information from text and media to communicate a pattern for how parents respond when offspring signal fo

LP2: Obtain information from text and edia to communicate a pattern for ho arents help offspring survive (when fspring do not signal for help). **P3.** Obtain information from text AND edia to communicate a pattern for how ffspring help themselves survive.



**Task Feat** to Equity a

## Figure 5. Example Task: LS3-1 LP3



This project is funded by the National Science Foundation, grant # 2201051. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in these materials are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation

address a need.

<Help students reach the conclusion that offspring are similar to, but not exactly like their parents.>

### Figure 2. Integrated Dimension Maps

### Figure 4. Example of Design Pattern

| vledge, Skills,<br>bilities        | <ul> <li>Students are able to identify and/or describe through observation the placement of an object in the sky (at a specific timepoint).</li> <li>Students are able to identify and/or describe through observation how an object in the sky moves during a specified interval of time.</li> </ul>  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Knowledge,<br>nd Abilities         | <ul> <li>Knowledge of the sun, moon, and stars.</li> <li>Knowledge of the terms sunset, sunrise.</li> <li>Knowledge of directional terms (e.g., east, west, north, south, up, down, left, right).</li> </ul>   |
| /ork Products                      | <ul> <li>Identification of a multiple-choice description of where and how an object is in the sky;<br/>could be a picture, response to a multiple-choice task, oral description, written<br/>description, or a physical response (e.g., acting out).</li> </ul>  |
| Observations                       | <ul> <li>Accuracy of the information identified and/or described.</li> <li>Accuracy of the description of the pattern.</li> <li>Completeness of the description of the pattern.</li> </ul>   |
| ce of High<br>rmance               | <ul> <li>Students use scientific terminology, based on multiple instances of the pattern, to<br/>describe a pattern of an objects' movement in the sky.</li> </ul>   |
| ed Features to<br>e LP             | <ul> <li>Ensure that examples being used toward the pattern are not contradictory.</li> <li>Students must be asked to identify or describe a pattern in the movement of an object in the sky.</li> <li>Students should be provided with at least 2 examples across sources showing the pattern of movement.</li> </ul>   |
| ures Related<br>juage and<br>eracy | <ul> <li>Limit video length to approx. 30 seconds.</li> <li>Limit text length to 6 pages, approx. 60 words.</li> <li>Administrator language and text should use the appropriate language structure and vocabulary as supported by the curriculum and/or instruction leading up to the assessment.</li> </ul>   |
| ures Related<br>and Inclusion      | <ul> <li>Images (still) should refrain from including too much extraneous information.</li> <li>Images/videos should have enough contrast to support visual discrimination.</li> <li>Images/videos should avoid "gory" details.</li> <li>Images/videos should follow guidelines for the ethical treatment of animals.</li> <li>Familiarity of examples used (may depend on locality, or commonality of the animal).</li> </ul> |

Part 4: Comparing Parents and Offspring <Ask the students to keep the images of both pea plants visible.>

**SAY**: Look at your worksheet and find the question with the number three. I am going to read the directions. You can follow along with me. **READ:** Are the pea plants exactly alike? Please circle yes or no.

<Give students time to complete their responses.>

SAY: How did you know? Turn and tell your partner.

<Give students time to share their responses.>

**SAY:** Now, work together with your group to write your evidence. **READ:** Write down two things that you noticed that helped you decide yes

**SAY:** In other words, if you answered yes, write down 2 things that made you say yes. If you said no, write down 2 things that made you say no.

<Give students time to complete their responses.>

SAY: Turn and tell a partner:

• Do you think parents and their offspring are exactly alike? How do you know?

What did you learn about parent plants and their offspring?

## Face Validity of Tasks

# Significance



### **Established the validity of tasks included**:

• Approach: expert reviews, student cognitive interviews, and interviewer observations.

• Four experts reviewed and rated all task materials using a questionnaire about NGSS alignment (Billman et al., 2021) and elements for promoting equitable assessment

opportunities (Alozie et al., 2018).

• We calculated interrater reliability using Gwet's AC2 with quadratic weighting.

• Three researchers analyzed the student cognitive interview transcripts, interview observation notes, and expert comments using selective qualitative coding methods.

## **Lessons Learned**

### • Provide detailed embedded administrative support for teachers:

• Tasks that use discussion and are vocabulary-heavy need additional strategies and instructional support to promote and sustain student engagement.

 Provide task administration guidance to facilitate small-group discussions that are student-centered: • Eliminate "guess what's in the teacher's head" questions, such as "*Can you tell me what the seasons*" are?"

• Encourage opportunities for peer-peer conversation, such as "Today we'll be talking about the seasons – winter, spring, summer, and autumn. Turn and tell your neighbor some differences between summer and winter."

• **Provide simple language and instructional clarity:** • Simplify the language and incorporate ample visuals. • Use simple definitions of science terms in the teacher guide so teachers can easily explain them to students.

This work provides:

• A deconstruction of all nine first-grade NGSS performance expectations and integrates language development and young learners' science experiences (unpacking process).

• Dimension maps, learning performances, and design pattern templates to help elementary teachers align curricula and design formative assessments.

• Materials that support teacher learning and are actively used to develop formative assessments for real classrooms.