

Online Video-Based Lesson Analysis Professional Development to Support High School Science Teaching About Energy (EMAT)

OPB on Publi

Susan Kowalski, Betty Stennett, Mark Bloom, Karen Askinas

ABSTRACT

We report findings from the development and testing of a multidisciplinary online PD model for inservice high school science teachers. This study explores whether the PD model can enhance science teaching and learning as narrowly focused, face-to-face models have done in the **past**. We find that translation of complex analysis-of practice PD models for a multidisciplinary audience in an online environment is not without challenges. We investigated teachers' online reflections and comments to better understand the affordances and challenges of the multidisciplinary, online model.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- 1. Do teachers demonstrate improved knowledge and **practice** in the year following participation in the EMAT course?
- 2. After participating in EMAT, do teachers help students attain higher achievement than they did for their prior year's students, taught before teachers' participation in EMAT?
- 3. Which components of the EMAT course are **most effective** in enhancing teacher knowledge and practice, and why do those components seem to be effective?

DESIGN and ANALYSES

Desian

- · Teacher outcomes: pre-post design
- Student outcomes: pre-post control group quasi-experimental design

Analyses

- Teachers: pre-post comparisons and ANCOVA
- Students: 3-level HLM (students within classes; classes within teachers)

EMAT COURSE COMPONENTS

RESULTS: TEACHERS

Based on Successful STeLLA PD Model (Roth et

- al., 2011: Taylor et al., 2017)
- 12 of 16 STeLLA Strategies
- Situated cognition through video analysis Active learning of science content and

pedagogy 120 hours over 10 weeks in Summer

three key concepts

Student Achievement

treatment

(pre to post)

50-

5 40

ž 30

E 20-

control

error bars: 95% CI

Three Key Energy Concepts • Energy is neither created nor destroyed

• A systems approach is useful for tracking matter, energy, and understanding system affordances and limitations

pretest

No energy transfer is 100% efficient

TEACHER COMMENTS

Case study teachers with **positive student** treatment effect...

- Remarked positively on active learning components (e.g. metacognition opportunities and interactives)
- Remarked positively on video analysis opportunities

Case study teachers with negative student treatment effect...

- . Had trouble connecting what they were learning to their daily instruction (not sufficiently situated)
- Remarked negatively on video analysis and had difficulty with lesson analysis protocols (active learning through video not sufficiently supported online)

CONCLUSIONS

- Narrow content focus is likely important to efficacy of STeLLA.
- Model curriculum materials as part of PD may be key to enhancing student learning
- Need additional supports as teachers learn to use video analysis protocols online
- Intensive summer PD may be less effective than extended over school vear

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funded by Award# 1118643 from the National Science Foundation. Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations are only those of the presenters and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Roth, K. J., Garnier, H., Chen, C., Lemmens, M., Schwille, K., & Wickler, N. I. Z. (2011). Videobased lesson analysis: Effective science PD for teacher and student learning. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 48(2), 117-148. doi: 10.1002/tea.20408

Taylor, J. A., Roth, K., Wilson, C. D., Stuhlsatz, M.A., & Tipton, E. (2017). The effect of an analysis-of-practice, videocase-based, teacher professional development program on elementary students' science achievement. Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness, 10(2), 241-271.





- analyze video (both lenses)
- Low scores STeLLA 2x greater than EMAT
 - (pre to post)

N = 18 to 25 teachers, depending on outcome measure

RESULTS: STUDENTS

- No difference between treatment and comparison
- Significant increase from pre to post (both groups)
 - · Student achievement highly dependent on teacher
 - High variability in treatment effect by teacher (teacher identity explains ~50% of variance in student scores)
 - Teacher outcome measures do not explain variation in student scores
 - Some variation explained by changing grade (upper grades = larger treatment effect)

• High variability in scores

• STeLLA 4x greater than

EMAT (pre to post)

• N = 2,462 students